

|                            |       |    |    |
|----------------------------|-------|----|----|
| Sundry debtors—            |       |    |    |
| Interest .. .. .           | 1,073 | 16 | 11 |
| Rents .. .. .              | 93    | 13 | 0  |
| Insurance premiums .. .. . | 3     | 11 | 11 |
| Stationery stocks .. .. .  | 50    | 0  | 0  |

£135,446 1 10

|  |         |    |    |    |
|--|---------|----|----|----|
| <i>Liabilities.</i>                                |         | £  | s. | d. |
| Credit balances of depositors .. .. .              | 125,301 | 2  | 6  |    |
| Sundry creditors: Interest paid in advance .. .. . | 36      | 18 | 3  |    |
| Profit and Loss Account—                           |         |    |    |    |
| Balance at 1st April, 1923 .. .. .                 | 8,412   | 8  | 7  |    |
| Net profit for year .. .. .                        | 1,695   | 12 | 6  |    |

£135,446 1 10

G. E. DINNISS, Manager.  
R. COCK, President.

G. W. BROWNE,  
R. H. GEORGE,  
NEWTON KING,  
JAMES MCLEOD, } Trustees.

I, Clinton Henry Wynyard, being the auditor of the New Plymouth Savings-bank, appointed in terms of section 4 of the Savings-bank Amendment Act, 1923, hereby certify—  
(1.) That I am satisfied that the foregoing balance-sheet has been properly drawn up from the books, accounts, and vouchers of the Savings-bank so as to exhibit a true and correct view of the state of the Savings-bank's affairs as at the date thereof, in accordance with the requirements of the Savings-banks Amendment Act, 1923. (2.) That I have verified the cash, investments, securities, and assets of the Savings-bank as at the date of the balance-sheet. (3.) That I have obtained all the information and explanations I have required. (4.) That the manager has certified that all the requirements of the Savings-banks Act, 1908, and amendments, have been complied with.

C. H. WYNYARD, A.P.A. (N.Z.), A.I.A. (N.Z.),  
790 Auditor.

#### C. H. MARRIOTT AND COMPANY (LIMITED).

##### IN LIQUIDATION.

NOTICE is hereby given, pursuant to section 223 of the Companies Act, 1908, that the following extraordinary resolution was passed on the 4th day of August, 1924:—

That it has been proved to the satisfaction of the company that it cannot, by reason of its liabilities, continue its business, and it is advisable to wind up the same.

That C. W. Armstrong, of Hamilton, Public Accountant, be appointed Liquidator of the company at a remuneration of 5 per cent. of the assets realized.

C. W. ARMSTRONG,  
Public Accountant, Hamilton,  
791 Liquidator.

#### THE RELIANCE PLATING COMPANY.

NOTICE is hereby given that the undersigned JOHN EDGAR BASS and ARTHUR THWAITES EADES, carrying on business as Electroplaters, under the name or style of "The Reliance Plating Company," at Lower Tory Street, Wellington, have disposed of their business at the said premises to Messrs. WILLIAM KENYON and WILLIAM GORDON RUSSELL, of Wellington, who will continue the business in future at the same premises under the same title of "The Reliance Plating Company."

All accounts due to the Reliance Plating Company as at the 1st day of August, 1924, are to be paid to the said JOHN EDGAR BASS and ARTHUR THWAITES EADES, who will continue to trade on their own account in the business of Electroplaters under the name of "J. E. Bass and Co.," 77 Kent Terrace, Wellington.

Dated at Wellington this 1st day of August, 1924.

J. E. BASS,  
A. T. EADES,  
792

#### CHRISTCHURCH TRAMWAY ELECTORAL SUBDISTRICTS.

##### REPORT OF ELECTORAL COMMISSION.

The Chairman and Members of The Christchurch Tramway Board, Christchurch.

DEAR SIRS,

WE, the Commissioners appointed by you, in pursuance of the provisions of section 3 of the Christchurch Tramways District Act, 1920, and its amendments, as provided in section 2 of the Christchurch Tramways District Amendment Act, 1921, for the purpose of (*vide* section 4 of the principal Act and section 4 of its amendment)—

(a.) Altering the boundaries of all or any of the subdistricts;  
(b.) Naming or re-naming any subdistrict; and  
(c.) Altering the number of members for any subdistrict, but so that the total number of members of the Board shall not exceed nine (*vide* section 3 of the principal Act), do hereby furnish the following report:—

Due notice, by public advertisement, was given of the business of the Commission, and an opportunity offered on the 14th instant for the submission of any evidence or information likely to be of value to the Commissioners.

The removal, under the Amendment Act, 1921, of certain restrictions contained in the principal Act, relevant to rateable value and boundaries, greatly simplified the work; the factors which guided the partition into subdistricts, with their respective quotas of representation, being population and community of interest.

The following Schedule gives the population:—

|                                 |        |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Christchurch City .. .. .       | 79,318 |
| Heathcote County (part) .. .. . | 3,530  |
| Sumner Borough .. .. .          | 3,400  |
| New Brighton Borough .. .. .    | 4,500  |
| Waimairi County (part) .. .. .  | 7,093  |
| Riccarton Borough .. .. .       | 4,328  |
| Paparua County (part) .. .. .   | 1,869  |
| Halswell County (part) .. .. .  | 544    |

104,582

Average per member (9), 11,620.

We very early came to the conclusion that there was no valid reason why Linwood should be separated from the Central Area, any more than should, say, Sydenham or St. Albans; it forms an integral part of the city proper, and as such should undoubtedly be included therein.

The settlement of this question and its corollary, raising the number of members for the Central Subdistrict from four to five, facilitated our work in no small degree, thus leaving the outskirts of the tramway area divisible into four subdistricts, with one member for each.

In the fixation of the boundaries of these outlying districts into approximately equal population, compatible with community of interest, encroachment upon the city boundaries was unavoidable, but as far as possible we adopted natural boundaries or railway-lines.

The boundaries of the Central Subdistrict thus became self-imposed.

The following Schedule gives the names of the proposed subdistricts and the population in each:—

| Name.                                    | Members. | Population. | Average Population per Member. |
|--|----------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Central Subdivision .. .. .              | 5        | 60,174      | 12,035                         |
| Spreydon-Addington Sub-division .. .. .  | 1        | 11,245      |                                |
| Riccarton-Fendalton Sub-division .. .. . | 1        | 11,272      | 11,102                         |
| Avon-Brighton Subdivision .. .. .        | 1        | 11,205      |                                |
| Hill-Sumner Subdivision .. .. .          | 1        | 10,686      |                                |

It will be noticed that the population per member in the Central Subdistrict is 12,035 as against 11,102 in the outlying subdistricts. We have adopted this plan for two reasons (a) to obviate disturbing the city area more than is absolutely necessary, and (b) because we believe that the drift of population towards the outskirts will in due course remedy the difference.

The wide extension so far north-east of the Riccarton-Fendalton Subdistrict is practically unavoidable, and the inclusion of this easternmost area with Avon-Brighton would not help matters; in the subdivision of an area so widely scattered as the present tramway district, an apparently unsuitable boundary in some part of it is well nigh inescapable.