

that the opposite party disputing the particular point in question should concur in and sign such application before an application can be made under the preceding rule.

5. In the event of such opposite party refusing or neglecting to concur in and sign such application, or of the failure of the parties to agree as to the nature of the question to be set out in the application, the applicant shall forward to the nearest Inspector of Awards four (4) typewritten copies of his views and contentions, and it shall be the duty of the Inspector to frame an application and to forward four (4) copies of the same to the Clerk of Awards. Such application shall be accompanied by copies of the views and contentions (if any) of both parties, and a copy of his own views and contentions (if any), and shall be in the form prescribed in the Schedule hereto (Form I.C.—G 4).

6. If either or all of the parties to an application or affected by the same shall desire that the Court should hear evidence or argument of counsel on the subject, they shall intimate such desire to the Clerk of Awards by memorandum in writing, and, subject to the direction of the Court, the Clerk of Awards shall file the four copies of the application, and set the matter down for hearing upon the list of business for the next sitting of the Court.

7. Should the Court, upon perusal of an application filed under these rules, decide that it requires to hear evidence or argument of counsel on the subject, it shall return the three copies of the application to the Clerk of Awards and direct him accordingly, and he shall file the three copies of the application, and set the matter down for hearing upon the list of business for the next sitting of the Court. He shall also immediately notify the parties of such direction of the Court.

GENERAL.

8. The Court considers that in every case where the facts are clear, and there is no ground for seeking to enforce a penalty, the Inspectors of Awards should carefully consider whether the matter can reasonably be treated as a case for interpretation, but the Court does not desire to interfere with the discretionary powers of Inspectors in such matters.

SCHEDULE.

I.C.—G 2.

In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1908, and its Amendments, and of the Rules of the Court of Arbitration thereunder.

INSPECTOR'S APPLICATION FOR INTERPRETATION OF AWARD [INDUSTRIAL AGREEMENT].

WHEREAS by an award of the Court of Arbitration [industrial agreement] dated day of , 19 , and published in Volume , page , it was directed, *inter alia*, in clause that [Set out clause]: And whereas a question has [questions have] arisen as to the interpretation of the said award [industrial agreement] to the following purport: [Set out particulars of the question or questions upon which an opinion is desired]:

Now, I, an Inspector of Awards, hereby apply to the Court for the interpretation of the said question [questions].

(a) The following material is attached: 1. 2.

3. Dated at this day of , 19 .

[Signature of Inspector.]

To the Clerk of Awards at

(a) Four copies of the application, each accompanied by a typewritten copy of the views and contentions (if any) of the Inspector and of the parties concerned, to be filed with the Clerk of Awards.

I.C.—G 3.

In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1908, and its Amendments, and of the Rules of the Court of Arbitration thereunder.

APPLICATION BY PARTIES FOR INTERPRETATION OF AWARD [INDUSTRIAL AGREEMENT] (a).

WHEREAS by an award of the Court of Arbitration [industrial agreement] dated the day of , 19 , and published in Volume , page , it was directed, *inter alia*, in