Reprint as at 25 March 2011



Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review) Notice 2009

(SR 2009/208)

Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review) Notice 2009: revoked, on 25 March 2011, by clause 4 of the Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Professional Qualities Reflection) Notice 2011 (SR 2011/64).

Pursuant to section 54 of the Health Practitioners Competence Assurance Act 2003, the Minister of Health, being satisfied of the matters set out in section 54(3) of that Act, gives the following notice.

Contents

		Page
1	Title	2
2	Commencement	2
3	Declaration of protected quality assurance activity	2

Note

Changes authorised by section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989 have been made in this reprint.

A general outline of these changes is set out in the notes at the end of this reprint, together with other explanatory material about this reprint.

This notice is administered by the Ministry of Health.

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

2

cl 1

Schedule

Description of Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review

Notice

1 Title

This notice is the Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review) Notice 2009.

2 Commencement

This notice comes into force on the day after the date of its notification in the *Gazette*.

3 Declaration of protected quality assurance activity

The Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review described in the Schedule is a protected quality assurance activity.

Schedule

c1 3

Description of Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review

1 Interpretation

In this schedule,—

activity means the significant incident review (being a significant incident analysis and related discussion) described in clause 4

participant means a medical practitioner who is—

- (a) practising in New Zealand; and
- (b) a trainee with the Royal Australasian College of Physicians: and
- (c) participating in the activity

significant incident means a clinical incident where the set of circumstances or the behaviour of a participant or other health practitioner is perceived by a participant to have had an im-

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

Schedule

pact, whether positive or negative, on the quality of care of an individual patient

significant incident analysis means a written report, completed using the Significant Incident Analysis Tool of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, that—

- (a) identifies a significant incident; and
- (b) identifies, in relation to the significant incident, changes in the approach or to the behaviour of participants or other health practitioners that may influence the quality of care of an individual patient.

2 Objective

The objective of the activity is to improve the practices, competence, and understanding of participants by requiring them to record and discuss their analyses of significant incidents that occur during their training.

3 Information

The activity is based on information derived from the participants.

4 Activity

The activity involves a participant—

- (a) completing, from time to time, a significant incident analysis; and
- (b) discussing the significant incident analysis with his or her educational supervisor or professional development advisor.

Dated at Wellington this 26th day of July 2009.

Hon Tony Ryall,
Minister of Health.

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

Issued under the authority of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989. Date of notification in *Gazette*: 6 August 2009.

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

Notes

Contents

- 1 General
- 2 Status of reprints
- 3 How reprints are prepared
- 4 Changes made under section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989
- 5 List of amendments incorporated in this reprint (most recent first)

Notes

1 General

This is a reprint of the Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Significant Incident Review) Notice 2009. The reprint incorporates all the amendments to the notice as at 25 March 2011, as specified in the list of amendments at the end of these notes.

Relevant provisions of any amending enactments that contain transitional, savings, or application provisions that cannot be compiled in the reprint are also included, after the principal enactment, in chronological order. For more information, *see* http://www.pco.parliament.govt.nz/reprints/.

2 Status of reprints

Under section 16D of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989, reprints are presumed to correctly state, as at the date of the reprint, the law enacted by the principal enactment and by the amendments to that enactment. This presumption applies even though editorial changes authorised by section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989 have been made in the reprint.

This presumption may be rebutted by producing the official volumes of statutes or statutory regulations in which the principal enactment and its amendments are contained.

3 How reprints are prepared

A number of editorial conventions are followed in the preparation of reprints. For example, the enacting words are not

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

Notes

included in Acts, and provisions that are repealed or revoked are omitted. For a detailed list of the editorial conventions, see http://www.pco.parliament.govt.nz/editorial-conventions/ or Part 8 of the Tables of New Zealand Acts and Ordinances and Statutory Regulations and Deemed Regulations in Force.

4 Changes made under section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989

Section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989 authorises the making of editorial changes in a reprint as set out in sections 17D and 17E of that Act so that, to the extent permitted, the format and style of the reprinted enactment is consistent with current legislative drafting practice. Changes that would alter the effect of the legislation are not permitted. A new format of legislation was introduced on 1 January 2000. Changes to legislative drafting style have also been made since 1997, and are ongoing. To the extent permitted by section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989, all legislation reprinted after 1 January 2000 is in the new format for legislation and reflects current drafting practice at the time of the reprint.

In outline, the editorial changes made in reprints under the authority of section 17C of the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989 are set out below, and they have been applied, where relevant, in the preparation of this reprint:

- omission of unnecessary referential words (such as "of this section" and "of this Act")
- typeface and type size (Times Roman, generally in 11.5 point)
- layout of provisions, including:
 - indentation
 - position of section headings (eg, the number and heading now appear above the section)
- format of definitions (eg, the defined term now appears in bold type, without quotation marks)
- format of dates (eg, a date formerly expressed as "the 1st day of January 1999" is now expressed as "1 January 1999")

Reprinted as at 25 March 2011

Notes

- position of the date of assent (it now appears on the front page of each Act)
- punctuation (eg, colons are not used after definitions)
- Parts numbered with roman numerals are replaced with arabic numerals, and all cross-references are changed accordingly
- case and appearance of letters and words, including:
 - format of headings (eg, headings where each word formerly appeared with an initial capital letter followed by small capital letters are amended so that the heading appears in bold, with only the first word (and any proper nouns) appearing with an initial capital letter)
 - small capital letters in section and subsection references are now capital letters
- schedules are renumbered (eg, Schedule 1 replaces First Schedule), and all cross-references are changed accordingly
- running heads (the information that appears at the top of each page)
- format of two-column schedules of consequential amendments, and schedules of repeals (eg, they are rearranged into alphabetical order, rather than chronological).

5 List of amendments incorporated in this reprint (most recent first)

Health Practitioners (Quality Assurance Activity—Royal Australasian College of Physicians Professional Qualities Reflection) Notice 2011 (SR 2011/64): clause 4

Wellington, New Zealand: Published under the authority of the New Zealand Government—2011