This Public Bill originated in the LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, and, having this day passed as now printed, is transmitted to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

567

Legislative Council.

19th September, 1919.

Hon. Mr. MacGregor.

## JURIES ACT AMENDMENT.

## ANALYSIS.

Title.

1. Short Title. 2. A majority of five-sixths may be accepted in criminal cases, except capital.

3. Trial may be continued notwithstanding that the jury may be reduced in number.

## A BILL INTITULED

An Act to amend the Juries Act, 1908.

BE IT ENACTED by the General Assembly of New Zealand in Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as 5 follows:—

1. This Act may be cited as the Juries Act Amendment Act, Short Title. 1919, and it shall form part of and be read together with the Juries

Act, 1908, hereinafter called the principal Act.

2. If ten of the jurors empanelled to try a criminal case other Amajority of 10 than a capital case shall, after the jury has retired to consider its five-sixths may be accepted in verdict for a period of at least three hours, intimate to the Judge criminal cases, presiding at such trial that the jury has considered its verdict and except capital. that there is no probability of such jury being unanimous, the verdict of ten of the jurors may, if the Judge thinks fit, be accepted 15 as, and shall have all the consequences of, a verdict of the whole jury.

3. In the event of the death or illness of any juror during any Trial may be trial, civil or criminal, except for a capital offence, the presiding continued notwithstanding Judge shall have power, if he thinks fit, to direct that the trial that the jury may 20 shall proceed with a number reduced in no case to less than five- be reduced in sixths of the jurors originally empanelled, and the verdict of such remaining jurors, or, in case such trial is a civil trial, of so many thereof as under section one hundred and fifty-two of the principal Act constitute the proportion of the number of jurors 25 originally empanelled whose decision shall be taken as the verdict of all, shall be a sufficient verdict, provided in the last-mentioned case that the jurors have remained in deliberation for at least three

By Authority: MARCUS F. MARKS, Government Printer, Wellington.—1919.